

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION**

**ALVA JOHNSON,  
Individually and On Behalf of All Others  
Similarly Situated,**

**Plaintiff,**

**Case No. 8:19-cv-00475-WFJ-SPF**

**v.**

**DONALD J. TRUMP,  
In his Individual Capacity and  
DONALD J. TRUMP FOR  
PRESIDENT, INC.**

**Defendants.**

---

**MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF  
AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Pursuant to Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. (the “Campaign”), by its undersigned counsel, moves the Court for entry of an order compelling the deposition of plaintiff Alva Johnson (“Plaintiff”) to be conducted on Monday, July 8, 2019 at Veritext Legal Solutions, 1250 I Street, NW, Suite 350, Washington, DC 20005 commencing at 10:00 a.m., and in support thereof states as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

As explained in the parties’ Case Management Report [*see* Dkt. No. 36 at p. 10], the Campaign brings this motion to ensure that Plaintiff will appear for her deposition on the date and at the location noticed because, although her attorneys have confirmed that both they and their client are available on July 8, 2019 in Washington D.C., they also have made repeated suggestions that she might still refuse to appear and/or seek to postpone her deposition by

objection or motion, and Plaintiff refuses to formally stipulate to her appearance on that date. To ensure that her deposition proceeds on that date, at the specified time and location, the Campaign requests an order requiring her to so appear unless by stipulation or further order of the Court.

## **II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

### **A. Plaintiff's Purported Unavailability for Multiple Months**

By this putative class action, Plaintiff is suing the sitting President of the United States and his election campaign for compensatory, punitive and special damages in excess of \$75,000. Complaint [Dkt. No. 1] ¶ 10. She filed suit on February 25, 2019.

During the parties' Rule 26(f) conference last month, counsel for the Campaign attempted to pre-arrange the date and location of Plaintiff's deposition, initially asking that it be conducted on June 18, 19 or 20, 2019 in a city and state of Plaintiff's choosing. Declaration of Charles J. Harder ("Harder Decl.") ¶ 2. Plaintiff's counsel requested an alternative date and the Campaign responded that her deposition could be accommodated any day between June 14 and 28, 2019. *Id.*

Plaintiff's counsel then took the position that Plaintiff was unavailable any day in June 2019 "due to her professional commitments." *Id.* ¶ 3, Exh. A. When the Campaign's counsel asked for a list of Plaintiff's "professional commitments" in June, Plaintiff's counsel responded that one of Plaintiff's eight attorneys of record (not lead counsel) had a vacation planned for June 13–25 (obviously not a professional commitment of Plaintiff herself) and also stated that Plaintiff was an events coordinator for a Colorado wine festival taking place the last weekend of the month, June 27–30, 2019. *Id.* ¶ 4, Exh. B. (Plaintiff's online LinkedIn professional profile states that she works at a travel agency in Atlanta, Georgia. *See* <http://www.linkedin.com/in/alva-johnson-278516b/>)

Plaintiff's counsel then refused to produce her for a deposition unless and until a deposition of defendant President Trump was scheduled:

While we would be happy to schedule her [Plaintiff's] deposition . . . in early July, we will not agree to any date if it is your position that you will not provide us with a date for Mr. Trump's deposition. You have indicated that you intend to file motions to limit discovery—apparently including the scope of Mr. Trump's deposition . . . . Thus, I ask that you either provide us with dates for Mr. Trump's deposition, or agree that neither side will take party depositions until your anticipated efforts to limit discovery (presumably including deposition topics) are ruled on.

*Id.* Exh. B.

The “anticipated efforts to limit discovery” referenced above are a response to Plaintiff's efforts to seek extensive discovery regarding matters that have nothing to do with Plaintiff or her allegations in the case, including accusations against President Trump by 19 other people. Defendants intend to file a Motion for a Protective Order to establish reasonable limitations on the scope of discovery herein. When Defendants' counsel confirmed that no questions would be asked of Plaintiff regarding subjects that Defendants are seeking to exclude from the case, Plaintiff's counsel eventually stated that Plaintiff “could make herself available” for deposition on July 8, 2019, in Washington, D.C.

Given counsel's evasiveness, lack of consistency and unwillingness to formally commit to the deposition taking place on that day, Defendants reasonably requested that Plaintiff's counsel enter into a written stipulation stating that Plaintiff would appear for deposition on that date and at the location noticed, and waive all objections to the date, time and location, to avoid the necessity of this motion to compel. *Id.* ¶ 6, Exh. D. Plaintiff's attorney refused, and refuses to do so, saying: “we will not agree to any stipulation abandoning and waiving our client's rights” to object to the deposition proceeding as currently scheduled, namely, on July 8, 2019 in Washington, D.C. *Id.* Exh. D.

### **III. ARGUMENT**

“A party may, by oral questions, depose any person, including a party, without leave of court . . . .” Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(1); *see also* Local Rules 3.04(b) (“it is the general policy of the Court that a non-resident plaintiff may reasonably be deposed at least once”), 3.02 (“a party desiring to take the deposition of any person upon oral examination shall give at least fourteen (14) days notice in writing to every other party to the action”).

The Campaign has attempted in good faith, on at least three occasions, to definitively establish the time, date and place for the deposition of Plaintiff in her very own case. *See* Harder Decl., Exh. C (Second Amended Notice of Deposition). Despite such earnest efforts, and three months into this case, the Campaign still has received no assurance that Plaintiff and her representatives will actually appear as scheduled and permit the examination to proceed.

It would be unfair and improper for the Campaign’s counsel to prepare for Plaintiff’s deposition on July 8, 2019 only for Plaintiff to later assert objections and refuse to appear. The Campaign reasonably requests that Plaintiff’s deposition date be set by order, and that any objections she may have to her deposition be heard in advance and determined by the Court, so that there are no obstacles to the deposition proceeding.

Among other things, Plaintiff has no legal basis to refuse to appear for deposition simply because there are other ongoing discovery disputes, including regarding the proper scope of Plaintiff’s efforts to obtain discovery against the Defendants. “Unless the parties stipulate or the court orders otherwise for the parties’ and witnesses’ convenience and in the interests of justice: (A) methods of discovery may be used in any sequence; and (B) discovery by one party does not require any other party to delay its discovery.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(3); *see, e.g., Keller v. Edwards*, 206 F.R.D. 412, 416 (D. Md. 2002) (“While an attorney representing a party or witness

that has been served with a valid notice of deposition properly may communicate to the noting party any objections to the service, sequence or timing of the deposition, he may not unilaterally refuse to produce his client until certain conditions are fulfilled”).

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Campaign respectfully requests that the deposition of plaintiff Alva Johnson be ordered on Monday, July 8, 2019 at Veritext Legal Solutions, 1250 I Street, NW, Suite 350, Washington, DC 20005 commencing at 10:00 a.m. pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37.

#### **LOCAL RULE 3.01(g) CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 3.01(g), counsel for Mr. Trump and the Campaign has conferred with counsel for Plaintiff, and counsel for Plaintiff opposes the relief requested herein.

Dated this 24th day of May, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Charles J. Harder

Charles J. Harder

Trial Counsel

CHarder@HarderLLP.com

Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*

HARDER LLP

132 S. Rodeo Drive, Fourth Floor

Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (424) 203-1600

Facsimile: (424) 203-1601

Dawn Siler-Nixon

Florida Bar No. 993360

DSiler-Nixon@FordHarrison.com

Tracey K. Jaensch

Florida Bar No. 907057

TJaensch@FordHarrison.com

**FORDHARRISON**<sup>LLP</sup>

101 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 900

Tampa, Florida 33602  
Telephone: (813) 261-7800  
Facsimile: (813) 261-7899

Attorneys for Defendants  
Donald J. Trump and  
Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on May 24, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will electronically send a notice of electronic filing upon the following:

Brian W. Warwick  
bwarwick@varnellandwarwick.com  
Janet Varnell  
jvarnell@varnellandwarwick.com  
Varnell & Warwick, PA  
P.O. Box 1870  
Lady Lakes, FL 32158-1870

Hassan A. Zavareei  
hzavareei@tzlegal.com  
Katherine M. Aizpuru  
kaizpuru@tzlegal.com  
Tycko & Zavareei LLP  
1828 L Street NW, Suite 1000  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Tanya S. Koshy  
tkoshy@tzlegal.com  
Tycko & Zavareei LLP  
1970 Broadway, Suite 1070  
Oakland, CA 94612

F. Paul Bland  
pbland@publicjustice.net  
Karla Gilbride  
kgilbride@publicjustice.net  
Public Justice, P.C.  
1620 L Street NW, Suite 630  
Washington, DC 20036

Jennifer Bennett  
jbennett@publicjustice.net  
Public Justice, P.C.  
475 14<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 610  
Oakland, CA 94612

/s/ Charles J. Harder  
Attorney